Abstract (from source)

The authors describe findings from a research synthesis on content area instruction for students with disabilities. Seventy studies were identified from a comprehensive literature search, examined, and coded for a number of variables, including weighted standardized mean-difference effect sizes. More than 2,400 students were participants in these investigations. Studies included interventions involving content areas, such as science, social studies, and English, and employed a number of different interventions, including study aids, classroom learning strategies, spatial and graphic organizers, mnemonic strategies, hands-on activities, classroom peers, and computer-assisted instruction. The overall effect size was 1.00, indicating an overall large effect across studies. Implications for future research and practice are described.

Background

Secondary school-aged students with mild disabilities (e.g., learning disabilities, emotional disturbance, and mild intellectual disabilities or developmental delays) are increasingly included in content area classes and state and national assessments. This meta-analysis examined the evidence base for some of the strategies most commonly used to teach middle and high school students with disabilities in the content areas of science (e.g., biology, chemistry), social studies (e.g., history, geography), and English. The researchers examined the following interventions:

- **Mnemonic strategies.** Mnemonic devices are patterns of letters, sounds, or associated ideas that aid people in remembering information. Keyword, pegword, and letter strategies were the mnemonic devices used in the studies included in this meta-analysis. These mnemonic techniques use acoustically linked proxy words to connect two pieces of information. For example, students were given the keyword “rainy day” and told to think of a frog sitting in the rain to remember that the scientific classification for common frogs is *ranidae*.

- **Spatial Organizers.** Spatial organizers help students understand and remember information by teaching them to sort concepts, facts, and ideas using charts, diagrams, graphs, or other graphic organizers.

- **Classroom Learning Strategies.** Study skills instruction, note-taking skills, self-questioning strategies, self-monitoring, summarization, and learning strategies were included under the category of classroom learning strategies in this review.
• **Computer-Assisted Instruction (CAI).** CAI programs use a variety of computer-based applications to deliver different types of instruction, including drill and practice, strategy instruction, and simulation.

• **Peer Mediation.** In this meta-analysis, peer mediation included peer tutoring and cooperative learning, where students are taught to help their classmates and work together on projects or assignments.

• **Study Aids.** Study aids reviewed in this meta-analysis included both teacher-directed and student-directed study guides and advanced organizers such as text outlines.

• **Hands-On or Activity-Oriented Learning.** Hands-on and activity-oriented learning is most commonly seen in science labs where students perform experiments and work with the materials they are studying in order to learn concepts.

• **Explicit instruction.** Explicit instruction, also referred to as direct teaching, is composed of three strategies: teaching in small steps, guided practice, and independent practice.

**Research Design - Meta-Analysis**

**Number of Studies -** 70

**Years Spanned -** 1984-2006

**Research Subjects**
Secondary school-aged students with disabilities receiving content area interventions in science, social studies, or English.

**Specified Disability**
Learning disabilities (67.1% of students)

Students with more than one disability (28.6%): learning disabilities (LD), emotional or behavioral disturbance (ED), and/or mild intellectual disabilities.

Emotional disturbance (4.3%)

**Intervention**
In order of their prevalence in the 70 studies, interventions were: mnemonic strategies (30.0%); spatial organizers (20.0%); classroom learning strategies (17.1%); computer-assisted instruction (10.0%); peer mediation (7.1%); study aids (5.7%); hands-on or activity-oriented learning (5.7%); and explicit instruction (4.3%).

**Duration of Intervention**
Intervention sessions ranged in number between 1 and 60 with a mean of 11.6 sessions. Their length ranged between 10 and 90 minutes, with a mean of 42.8 minutes.

**Mnemonic strategies.** The use of mnemonic instruction, particularly for helping students with LD learn facts in a variety of subject areas, has been extensively studied (n=21), and has shown very high effectiveness across studies. However, the researchers remind teachers that the mnemonic devices they studied specifically focused on teaching students to make verbal associations between facts. These strategies are effective in helping students to memorize material such as lists, groups, and chronologies. To increase the overall effectiveness of a lesson and promote a deeper understanding of the content to be learned, mnemonic instruction can be combined with many of the other interventions reviewed here.
Effect sizes ranging from moderate to very high were found for the seven instructional strategies examined in this meta-analysis.

When the studies were divided and examined by the type of setting in which they were conducted, interventions conducted in general and special education settings had relatively similar high effect sizes, while interventions conducted in a separate room within the school were significantly higher. Intervention sessions led by researchers had the highest effect sizes, followed by interventions led
by special educators, while interventions led by general educators had more moderate effect sizes.

The authors note that only a small number of the studies took place in inclusive classrooms and that previous studies of coteaching in inclusive class-

rooms have found that the effective strategies investigated in this study are rarely implemented in inclusive settings. The authors suggest future research into ways that special educators and general educators can work together to bring these interventions into secondary content classes.
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